WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

<u>Present-</u> The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman) & The Hon'ble Dr.Subesh Kumar Das (Administrative Member)

Case No. OA - 828 OF 2019

SRI SUBHAS CHANDRA JANA Vs. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & OTHERS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u>04</u> 11.02.2020	For the Applicant : Mr.U.K.Bhattacharya Mr.K.Mishra Advocates	
	For the Respondents : Mr.A.Datta (Departmental representative)	
	In this application, Subhas Chandra Jana, a	
	casual worker/Tahasil Mohury from 1975 to 1984 and	
	thereafter absorbed as Group-'D' staff on 21st April,	
	2011 and had superannuated on 28th January, 2016, has	
	prayed for certain reliefs, the relevant portion of which	
	is as under:	
	"(ii) The impugned <mark>Memo No.</mark>	
	1296/Jela/Bhumi/Jhargram/2018 dated	
	04.12.2018 may be quashed and set aside.	
	(ii) The concerned respondents	
	may be directed by this Hon'ble Tribunal	
	to grant and pay the monthly pension with	
	arrear computing the qualifying service of	
	the petitioner after including the service	
	period as casual worker from 01.01.1975 to	

Form No.	
FULLI NO.	••••

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
<u> </u>	1004 with the couries wondowed by the	3
	1984 with the service rendered by the	
	petitioner as approved Group 'D' staff in	
	regular Government Establishment for the	
	period 21.04.2011 to 28.01.2016 in the	
	interest of justice.	
	iv) The concerned respondents may	
	be directed by this Hon'ble Tribunal to	
	condone the deficiency in service period	
	for computation of qualifying service of 10	
	years for payment of minimum monthly	
	pension.	
	v) The respondent may be directed	
	by this Hon'ble Tribunal to grant and pay	
	the monthly pension with arrear after	
	consideration and disposal of the	
	representation dated 22.10.2018 submitted	
	by the petitioner."	
	After the matter was admitted, directions	
	were issued to file reply and rejoinder. Reply and	
	rejoinder have been filed and are on record.	

Form No.	
FORM NO	
1 OTTITIO.	***************************************

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

_

Case No.

Case No.		
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
1	_	of parties when necessary 3
	Mr.U.K.Bhattacharya, learned advocate	
	appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that as	
	the applicant worked as casual worker/Tahasil	
	Mohury from 1975 to 1984 and thereafter pursuant to	
	the order of the Hon'ble High Court had been	
	absorbed as Group-'D' staff in regular Government	
	establishment and had worked from 21st April, 2011	
	till 28th January, 2016 when he superannuated, and	
	thus had served for more than 10 years, order may be	
	passed quashing the memo. dated 4th December, 2018	
	issued by the Additional District Magistrate and the	
	District Land and Land Reforms Officer, Jhargram, the	
	respondent no. 2(e), appearing at page 69 of the	
	application, denying the pension on the ground that	
	the period of service was less than 10 years. Since the	
	said order was passed by the respondent no. 2(e)	
	without giving the applicant an opportunity of hearing	
	and to furnish relevant documents in support of the	
	claim, there was violation of the principles of natural	
	justice. Moreover, as the order is cryptic, it may be set	
	aside. Submission is the respondent no. 2(e) has no	
	jurisdiction to decide the issue and it is for the	
	Government to take a decision in the matter.	
	<u> </u>	

Form No.	
OIIII NO.	***************************************

Order of the Tribunal with signature

2

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Office action with date

and dated signature

Case No.

Serial No. and

Date of order.

1

order.	2	of parties when necessary 3
	Mr.A.Datta, the Departmental representative,	
	appearing for all the respondents submits that since	
	the applicant after being absorbed as Group-'D' staff	
	had worked from 21st April, 2011 to 28th January, 2016	
	and there was no continuity of service after 1984, the	
	impugned memo dated 4th December, 2018 is just and	
	proper. On the question of jurisdiction submission is	
	as the Additional District Magistrate and District Land	
	and Land Reforms Officer, Jhargram, the respondent	
	no. 2(e) is the authority to sanction pension, the said	
	respondent had the jurisdiction to decide the issue.	
	Heard Mr.U.K.Bhattacharya, learned	
	advocate for the applicant and Mr.A.Datta, the	
	Departmental representative.	
	So far as the jurisdiction of the Additional	
	·	
	District Magistrate and District Land and Land	
	Reforms Officer, Jhargram, the respondent no. 2(e) is	

concerned, since we find the said respondent is the

pension sanctioning authority, we are of the view that

it was within his jurisdiction to decide the issue. That

apart, the learned advocate for the applicant could not

Form No.	
FOLLI INO.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2	and dated signature of parties when necessare 3
	refer to any provision either in the statute or in the	
	rules to demonstrate that the said respondent lacks	
	jurisdiction, save and except he has merely referred to	
	Article 166 of the Constitution of India. However, since	
	we find that the order contained in the memo. dated	
	4th December, 2018, appearing at page 69 of the	
	application, was passed without giving an opportunity	
	of hearing to the applicant, it was in violation of the	
	principles of natural justice. Therefore, the order	
	contained in the memo. dated 4th December, 2018 is set	
	aside and quashed. The application is allowed.	
	Accordingly, the Additional District Magistrate and	
	District Land and Land Reforms Officer, Jhargram, the	
	respondent no. 2(e) shall dispose of the matter	
	regarding grant of pension to the applicant by passing	
	a reasoned order to be communicated to the parties,	
	within fifteen weeks from the date of presentation of a	
	copy of this order after giving an opportunity of	
	hearing and after verifying the records and after	
	considering the relevant circulars, notifications and	
	judgements, which may be relied on by the applicant.	
BLR	(S.K.DAS) (SOUMITRA PAL) MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	